Northwood Office Center 2215 Forest Hills Drive, Suite 39 Harrisburg, PA 17112-1099

> GEOFF FINCH Wenger's Feed Mills, Inc.

JOHN MOOSE

FRITZ MC GRAIL

Webb's Super-Gro Products, Inc.

Cumberland Valley Cooperative

Land O'Lakes Purina Feed (Retired)

PennAg Industries Association

Fulton Bank Lancaster

Rheems 1st Vice Presidem

2nd Vice President

3rd Vice President RICHARD COLE PACMA, Inc. Palmyra Past President

Mill Hall

RENE LAVOIE

Shippensburg

Camp Hill Executive Vice President WALT PEECHATKA

Harrisburg

DAVE BOYER

New Oxford DONALD COTNER

Lancaster

Lancaster

Holmood

Cresco DAVE NEWMAN Northeast Agri Systems, Inc.

Lititz

Newburg CHRIS PIERCE

Annville

York

RICK KIRBY

Kirby Agri, Inc. Lancaster STEVE LEHMAN

BETH MARTIN

TOM PASTOR

Heritage PMS, Inc.

RICH ROENIGK York Ag Products, Inc.

MARY BETH RUH E.M. Brown's Sons, Inc.

JAMES SHAFFER

DAN SHARRER

BRÅD WAGNER Hoober Feeds, Inc Gordonville

Ag Commodities, Inc. New Oxford

Sinking Spring

Chemgro . East Petersburg

TIM HORN Pennfield Corporation

Pilgrims' Pride, Inc.

Don Cotner Farms Danville DORFEN DICKSON

Hershey Equipment. Inc.

Lehman Ag Service, Inc.

Paradise Brook Trout Company

Pig Improvement Company

R.B. (BUD) CATON

Treasurer

DIRECTORS

OFFICERS

President

PennAg Industries Association

Serving Agribusiness Since 1878

2559

March 8, 2007

Ms. Mary Bender Bureau of Dog Law Enforcement Pennsylvania Department of Agriculture 2301 North Cameron Street Harrisburg, PA 17110-9408

~~ ~

Ph: 717.651.5920

Ext. 717.651.5926

Email: pennag/c pennag.com Web Site: www.pennag.com

Dear Ms. Bender:

I am writing on behalf of PennAg Industries Association expressing our concerns with the proposed amendments to the Pennsylvania dog law regulations issued on December 16, 2006.

Our initial question relates to the process by which the amendments were developed. Was opportunity provided, in advance, for submission of accepted husbandry practices for kennels and input from the scientific community? Were the state veterinarian, representatives from The Pennsylvania State University, and The University of Pennsylvania Veterinary School active participants in the development of these proposed regulations?

The proposed regulations are clearly unreasonable and will create significant expense for kennel owners to achieve compliance. While the Department of Agriculture implies that the cost, per kennel, to meet the proposed regulations will range from \$10,000 to \$20,000, others have stated that costs of \$30,000 to \$100,000 are more realistic. This great disparity in the estimated costs and the economic impact on this important revenue source for farm families is a concern.

PennAg Industries Association recommends that the regulations be rewritten to provide reasonable best management practices, supported by scientific input as well as accepted husbandry practices, for kennels that can be achieved with minimal expense to kennel owners.

The proposed regulations, if enacted, will create severe hardship for hundreds of kennel owners, throughout Pennsylvania, who rely on the income derived from their kennels. Commercial kennels serve a need and should be encouraged to satisfy the market with healthy puppies by operating their kennels according to best management practices. The Commonwealth will be better served by assisting kennel operators to manage their kennels in accordance with standards that are acceptable and achievable.

The Bureau of Dog Law Enforcement has conceded that the current regulations have not been adequately enforced. If, after implementing the recently announced enhanced enforcement program, the Bureau finds it is still unable to adequately enforce the existing regulations, it should cite the specific deficiencies in the existing regulations and propose changes to address those problems. The current proposal appears to be a list of ideas to improve the environment for dogs with no basis in scientific fact or accepted husbandry practices.



Leading the Way

Working to create and maintain an effective, viable, and competitive environment for Pennsylvania Agribusiness to grow and prosper. We strongly urge the Department to withdraw these proposed regulations, and modify the proposal to address the concerns previously identified.

Finally, we have a concern as it relates to the financial stability of the Bureau. The Department has stated that with annual revenue of \$6 million and expenses of more than \$10 million the Bureau has four years to continue to operate before existing reserves in the restricted fund are depleted. What is being planned to sustain the Bureau's continued long-term operation?

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely, Samuel C. Sherk

Assistant Vice President

Copies to: Kristin Ebersole, Neil McAuliffe, Diane Main, Kerry Golden